

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/833,639	04/13/2001	Serguei Zhdanok	000348-263	3068	
. 75	90 05/02/2003		•		
E. Joseph Gess			EXAMINER		
BURNS, DOA! P.O. Box 1404	NE, SWÈCKER & MAT	HIS, L.L.P.	LANGEL, WAYNE A		
Alexandria, VA	22313-1404		ART UNIT PAPER NO	PAPER NUMBER	
			1754		
			DATE MAILED: 05/02/2003	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·	
	Application No. 833639	Applicant(s)	A etal
Office Action Summary	Examiner 2 and	Group Ar	//
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet b	eneath the corresponde	ence address –
P riod for Reply	2		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM T	HE MAILING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a real of NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by start Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(h). 	eply within the statutory mir t, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr tute, cause the application	nimum of thirty (30) days will om the mailing date of this co to become ABANDONED (35	be considered timely. ommunication. i U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is FINAL.			
Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	for formal matters, pro 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213	secution as to the mei	rits is closed in
Disposition of Claims			
Claim(s)		is/are pending in	the application.
Of the above claim(s)			
•	•		
☐ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)			_
□ Claim(s)		•	
Application Papers		requirement	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner		
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			•
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)–(d)			
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a	ı)–(d).	
All □ Some* □ None of the:			
Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	eceived.		
☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been re	eceived in Application N	No	•
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received		
in this national stage application from the International	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a))	-
*Certified copies not received:			•
	_ ,		
Attachment(s)			440
Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper No	o(s). 5 and 6 🗆 1	nterview Summary, P10-	413
Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.		-	
		Notice of Inf mal Pat nt	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Serial No. 09/833,639

Art Unit 1754

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under Section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 6, 10, 13 and 14 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Tonkovich et al. Tonkovich et al.
disclose a method for conducting a catalytic chemical reaction by
providing a catalyst material as a porous structure having a

Serial No. 09/833,639 Art Unit 1754

porosity that permits molecular diffusion therein, and flowing at least one gas phase reactant through the porous structure. (See column 3, line 24 - column 4, line 50, and column 6, lines 6-25.) Tonkovich et al. teach at column 6, line 28 that the reaction may be employed for partial oxidation. The porous medium of Tonkovich et al. would inherently be "preheated beforehand" in a continuous partial oxidation process, since the exothermic heat of reaction from the partial oxidation would heat the porous It is noted that applicant's claims do not require that heat be transferred from the porous medium to the reaction gas mixture, since the claims merely recite "making it possible" to heat the reaction gas mixture by heat exchange with the porous medium, as opposed to actually heating such reaction gas mixture by the porous medium. Regarding claim 2, Tonkovich et al. teach at column 4, lines 3-7 that the porous material may be a porous support of a non-catalytic material.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, line 2, "of the type" renders the scope of the claim vague and indefinite. Also in claim 1, it is indefinite as to whether the phrase "thus making it possible to heat the reaction gas mixture by heat exchange with the porous medium . . " would require that the reaction gas

Serial No. 09/833,639
Art Unit 1754

mixture actually be heated by heat exchange with the porous medium. In claims 9, 13, 14 and 17, it is indefinite as to whether the recitation of "proportions which make possible total combustion" would require proportions which actually result in total combustion.

Cole is made of record for disclosing a supported catalytic structure.

Helmers is made of record for disclosing a method for carrying out an endothermic reaction comprising passing a stream of material to be reacted through a bed of solid granular catalyst disposed in a conversion chamber, and supplying high frequency electrical current to a primary element outside of the catalyst bed to heat the element disposed within the catalyst bed.

Schuessler et al. is made of record for disclosing a method for producing hydrogen by feeding a reaction mixture comprising a hydrocarbon and water onto a catalyst formed by compressing at least one catalyst powder into a compressed layer to form a shaped body.

Autenrieth et al. is made of record for disclosing a reforming reactor with three serially arranged reactor steps, each of which is charged with a catalyst pellet fill.

Dindi et al. is made of record for disclosing a process for the catalytic partial oxidation of a hydrocarbon feedstock

Serial No. 09/833,639

Art Unit 1754

wherein an unsupported porous catalyst containing rhodium, such as rhodium foam, is used.

Chintawar et al., Gottzmann et al. and Prasad et al. are made of record for disclosing partial oxidation processes.

This application apparently discloses allowable subject matter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne A. Langel whose telephone number is (703) 308-0248. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8 A.M. to 3:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on (703) 308-3837. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 305-7718.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2351.

WAL:cdc

April 30, 2003

WAYNE A LANGEL PRIMARY EXAMINER